UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -115)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,776 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Oct 16, 2019

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols Clerk of the Panel

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-115 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION
ALABAMA MIDDLE			
ALM ALM	1 2	19-00724 19-00723	City of Dothan, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Griffith et al v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC et al Town of Rockford, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ALM	2	19-00725	
ALABAMA NORTHERN			
ALN	7	19-01564	Town of Vance, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
ALN COLORADO	7	19-01565	City of Brent, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
COLORADO	J		
CO	1	19-02783	Board of County Commissioners of the County of Mesa, The v. Sackler et al
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	8	19-02479	Khan v. Anda, Inc. Vacated 10/16/19
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	0	19-62286	Seminole Tribe of Florida v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
FLS	1	19-23849	CITY OF SWEETWATER, FLORIDA v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLS	1	19-24035	The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida v. Endo Health Solutions Inc. et al
KANSAS			
KS	2	19-02596	Taylor v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MARYLAN	D		
MD	1	19-02026	City of Oakland, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 2885 Filed: 10/31/19 3 of 4. PageID #: 429017 1 County of Somerset, Maryland v. Cephalon, Inc. et al MD 19-02763 **MASSACHUSETTS** Town of Easton v. Amerisourcebergen Drug MA 1 19-11933 Corporation et al **NEW JERSEY** TOWNSHIP OF BRICK v. PURDUE PHARMA, INC. NJ 3 19-17998 et al TOWNSHIP OF BARNEGAT v. TEVA NJ 3 19-18442 PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD. et al **NEW YORK SOUTHERN** Village of Wappingers Falls, New York v. 7 19-08678 NYS AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al NORTH DAKOTA ND 3 19-00206 Eddy County v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. et al ND 3 19-00207 Dickey County v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. et al 3 19-00208 Foster County v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. et al ND **OHIO SOUTHERN** The County of Fayette, Ohio et al v. Purdue Pharma OHS 2 19-04347 L.P. et al Opposed 10/14/19 OKLAHOMA EASTERN Love County Board of County Commissioners v. **OKE** 6 19-00320 Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 10/15/19 OKLAHOMA NORTHERN OKN 4 19-00526 Stock v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al. OKLAHOMA WESTERN Board of County Commissioners of Woods County v. OKW 5 19-00878 Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 10/15/19 Board of County Commissioners of Major County v. OKW 5 19-00879 Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 10/15/19 Pottawatomic County Board of County Commissioners OKW 5 19-00880 v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 10/15/19 Kay County Board of County Commissioners v. Purdue OKW 5 19-00884 Pharma LP et al Opposed 10/15/19

TXS 4 19=03590 County of Angelina v. Allergan PLC, et al Opposed 10/15/19

TEXAS SOUTHERN

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 2885 Filed: 10/31/19 4 of 4. PageID #: 429018

VIRGINIA WESTERN

Wise County Board of Supervisors v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al VAW 2 19-00039

WASHINGTON WESTERN

City of Vancouver v. Sackler et al WAW 3 19-05930

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

WVS 2 19-00707 Harris et al v. McKesson Corporation et al Opposed 10/11/19